

UNITED STATES DERTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. P 046614.00801 STEINWAY 03/28/00 09/537,023 **EXAMINER** Г MM91/0913 ENAD.E LLF BRACEWELL & PATTERSON PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** SOUTH TOWER PENNZOIL PLACE 711 LOUISIANA STREET 2834 SUITE 2900 DATE MAILED: HOUSTON TX 77002-2781 09/13/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95)

| | | Application No. 09/537,023 | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) Steinway, Paul | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--------------------------|--|
| • | Office Action Summary | Examiner Elvin Ena | d | Art Unit 2834 | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet w | ith the corres | pondence addr | ess | |
| A SH THE - Exte - Exte - If th t - If N | for Reply HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day be considered timely. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication. The entire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CFR 1.136 (a). In no evication. vs, a reply within the sta vy period will apply and v | ent, however, on atutory minimum will expire SIX (| may a reply be to m of thirty (30) of 6) MONTHS from | the mailing date of this | |
| Status | - instinction (s) filed on | | | | | |
| 1) [_ | ¬ 2h)▼ This a | ection is non-final. | | | | |
| 2a) └ 3) □ | This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex j</i> | e excent for formal r | natters, pros C.D. 11; 453 | ecution as to t 3 O.G. 213. | he merits is | |
| Dispo | osition of Claims | | is/a | re nendina in t | he application. | |
| 4) [| osition of Claims Claim(s) 1-8 | | | withdrawn | from consideration. | |
| | 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | | 15/8 | are withdrawn | | |
| 5)[| Claim(s) | | | _ 15/416 4110446 | | |
| 6) | V Claim(a) 1-8 | | | _ 13/8/6 10/000 | 54 . | |
| 7)[| | | | _ 13/8/6 00/00 | .00 10. | |
| 8) | | are su | ubject to rest | riction and/or | election requirement. | |
| • | lication Papers | | | | | |
| dh Abh | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | ·. | | | | |
| 10) | is is | /are objected to by ti | he Examiner. | _ | | |
| 11) | descript correction filed on | is: a |)□ approve | ed b)∟ disapp | roved. | |
| 12) | — is objected to by the Ex | raminer. | | | | |
| | ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some* c) None of: | gn priority under 35 l | | (a)-(d). | | |
| | at the priority documents | have been received | in Applicatio | n No | · | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International application for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed detaile | ity documents have I Bureau (PCT Rule 17 of the certified copie | been received 1.2(a)). s not receive | d. | nal Stage | |
| 14 | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dominating the structure of the str | estic priority under 3 | 15 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(e). | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1 | tachment(s) | 18) Interview Sur | | | _ | |
| 15) | X Morres of Lightness Street II. | 19) Notice of Info | ormal Patent Applic | ation (PTO-152) | | |
| | Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 20) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/537,023 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the details as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing and properly labeled. MPEP § 608.02(d). Correction is required. Moreover, the drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the supplementary firing equipment (claim 4) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "HRSG" is unclear, lacking proper antecedent basis.

.

Application/Control Number: 09/537,023

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kalitventzeff et al. (USP 6,189,310). Kalitventzeff et al. disclose a system comprising of at least one industrial gas turbine and at least one aeroderivative gas turbine.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kalitventzeff et al. (USP 6,189,310) in view of Briesch et al. (USP 5,442,908).

Kalitventzeff et al. disclose the claimed invention except for combining the system with other types of turbine such as heat recovery steam generators.

Application/Control Number: 09/537,023 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

Briesch et al. teach a combined combustion and steam turbine power plant comprising a steam turbine unit, a boiler unit for supplying steam to the steam turbine unit, a combustion turbine unit with an exhaust gas duct structure for supplying the turbine exhaust gases to the boiler unit. In column 3, lines 60 through column 4 lines 1-14, Briesch et al. teach that combined system configuration provides improved efficiency at either full load operation or part-load operation. According to Briesch et al. full load may be maintained for the combustion turbine while fuel combustion in the boiler may be reduced and efficiency could continue to improve until combustion of fuel in the boiler is terminated at which point operation of the plant corresponds to the operation of a normal combined cycle power plant.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Kalitventzeff et al. similar to the combined system as taught by Briesch et al. since such a modification would provide a combined power plant having high efficiency.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elvin Enad whose telephone number is (703) 308-7619.

Art Unit: 2834

11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3431 (32).

Elvin Enad Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834 08/30.2001